KITSAP COUNTY STORMWATER DESIGN MANUAL

FIGURE REFERENCE

Effective Date: October 4, 2021







2021 Kitsap County

STORMWATER DESIGN MANUAL

Figure Reference

Effective Date: October 4, 2021

Prepared by:

Kitsap County Department of Public Works and Department of Community Development

©2021 Kitsap County Department of Public Works and Department of Community Development



VOLUME I FIGURES

Figure I-2.1. Example Map Documenting Existing Hydrologic Features	2
Figure I-2.2. Example Composite Site Map	3
Figure I-3.1. Example Determination of New and Replaced Hard Surfaces	4
Figure I-4.1. Flow Chart for Determining Minimum Requirements for New Development Projects	5
Figure I-4.2. Flow Chart for Determining Minimum Requirements for Redevelopment Projects	6
Figure I-4.3. Flow Chart for Determining MR #5 Requirements	7







Figure I-2.2. Example Composite Site Map

SHINGT



Replaced Hard Surfaces



Figure I-4.1. Flow Chart for Determining Minimum Requirements for New Development Projects



Requirements for Redevelopment Projects



Figure I-4.3. Flow Chart for Determining MR #5 Requirements



VOLUME I PHOTOS

Photo 1. Bioretention BMP in a LID Residential Neighborhood	9
Photo 2. Example of a Shallow Gradient Slope	10
Photo 3. Temporary Sand Bags Divert Construction Site Stormwater	11
Photo 4. Sand Bags Prevent Silt-Laden Flow	12



Bioretention BMP in a cul-de-sac of a LID residential neighborhood in construction in western WA. The bioretention manages stormwater runoff from the roadway and contributing roof and driveway areas. Numerous large existing trees were retained, adding valuable stormwater and community benefits.



Photo 1. Bioretention BMP in a LID Residential Neighborhood



Example of shallow gradient slope with berm installed at downgradient edge to minimize silt-laden runoff onto the sidewalk.



Photo 2. Example of a Shallow Gradient Slope



Temporary sand bags divert construction site stormwater runoff to inlet protected with a catch basin filter sock.



Photo 3. Temporary Sand Bags Divert Construction Site Stormwater



Sand bags prevent silt-laden flow from entering the bioretention BMP. Green construction fencing prevents compaction due to foot traffic.



Photo 4. Sand Bags Prevent Silt-Laden Flow



VOLUME II FIGURES

Figure II-1.1. Flow Chart for Determining Type of Drainage Review	15
Figure II-3.1. Example of a Covered, Bermed and Plumbed Area – Plan View	16
Figure II-3.2. Example of a Covered, Bermed and Plumbed Area – Side View	17
Figure II-4.1. Flow Dispersion Trench	18
Figure II-4.2. Alternative Flow Dispersion Trench	19
Figure II-4.3. Through-Curb Inlet	20
Figure II-4.4. Pipe Anchor Detail	21
Figure II-4.5. Corrugated Metal Pipe Coupling Anchor Assembly	22
Figure II-4.6. Debris Barrier (in Road Right-of-Way)	23
Figure II-4.7. Gabion Outfall Detail	24
Figure II-4.8. Diffuser TEE (Example of Energy Dissipating End Feature)	25
Figure II-4.9. Pipe/Culvert Outfall Discharge Protection	26
Figure II-4.10. Concrete End Protection	27
Figure II-4.11. Ditches — Common Sections	28
Figure II-4.12. Drainage Ditches — Common Sections	29
Figure II-4.13. Geometric Elements of Common Sections	30
Figure II-4.14. Flow Splitter Option A	31
Figure II-4.15. Flow Splitter Option B	32
Figure II-4.16. Flow Spreader Option A: Anchored Plate	33
Figure II-4.17. Flow Spreader Option B: Concrete Sump Pump	34
Figure II-4.18. Flow Spreader Option C: Notched Curb Spreader	35
Figure II-4.19. Flow Spreader Option D: Through-Curb Port	36
Figure II-5.1. Infiltration Feasibility Flow Chart	37
Figure II-5.2. Runoff Treatment BMP Selection Flow Chart	38

Figure II-8.1. Critical Drainage Areas Commissioner District 1	39
Figure II-8.2. Critical Drainage Areas Commissioner District 2	40
Figure II-8.3. Critical Drainage Areas Commissioner District 3	41











RITSAP COUNTY

Figure II-4.1. Flow Dispersion Trench

HINGT







SHINGTO



SHINGT







SHINGT



Protection



		DIMEN	SIONS	HYDRAULICS								
NO.	Side Slopes	в	н	×	A	WP	R	R ^(2/3)				
D-1	-	-	6.5"	5'-0''	1.84	5.16	0.356	0.502				
D-1C	-	-	6"	25'-0"	6.25	25.5	0.245	0.392				
D-2A	1.5:1	2'-0"	1'-0"	5'-0''	3.5	5.61	0.624	0.731				
в	2:01	2'-0"	1'-0"	6'-0"	4	6.47	0.618	0.726				
С	3:01	2'-0"	1'-0"	8'-0''	5	8.32	0.601	0.712				
D-3A	1.5:1	3'-0"	1'-6"	7'-6"	7.88	8.41	0.937	0.957				
в	2:01	3'-0"	1'-6"	9'-0"	9	9.71	0.927	0.951				
С	3:01	3'-0"	1'-6"	12'-0"	11.25	12.49	0.901	0.933				
D-4A	1.5:1	3'-0"	2'-0"	9'-0"	12	10.21	1.175	1.114				
в	2:01	3'-0"	2'-0"	11'-0"	14	11.94	1.172	1.112				
С	3:01	3'-0"	2'-0"	15'-0"	18	15.65	1.15	1.098				
D-5A	1.5:1	4'-0"	3'-0"	13'-0"	25.5	13.82	1.846	1.505				
в	2:01	4'-0''	3'-0"	16'-0"	30	16.42	1.827	1.495				
С	3:01	4'-0''	3'-0"	22'-0"	39	21.97	1.775	1.466				
D-6A	2:01	-	1'-0"	4'-0"	2	4.47	0.447	0.585				
в	3:01	-	1'-0"	6'-0"	3	6.32	0.474	0.608				
D-7A	2:01	-	2'-0"	8'-0''	8	8.94	0.894	0.928				
в	3:01	-	2'-0"	12'-0"	12	12.65	0.949	0.965				
D-8A	2:01	-	3'-0"	12'-0"	18	13.42	1.342	1.216				
в	3:01	-	3'-0"	18'-0"	27	18.97	1.423	1.265				
D-9	7:01	-	1'-0"	14'-0"	7	14.14	0.495	0.626				
D-10	7:01	-	2'-0"	28'-0"	28	28.28	0.99	0.993				
D-11	7:01	-	3'-0"	42'-0"	63	42.43	1.485	1,302				





Figure II-4.11. Ditches — Common Sections



2021 Kitsap County Stormwater Design Manual Figure Reference	

Section factor Z	ph.rq	$\frac{[(b+xy)y]^{15}}{(b+2xy)}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}z_{\gamma^{23}}$	$rac{\sqrt{2}}{32} rac{\left(heta {f D} {f uild} ight)^{13}}{\left({f sin} ight)_{12} eta_{2}^{22}} rac{d^{22}}{4^2}$	$2_{f_9} \sqrt{6T_9}^{1.5}$	$\frac{\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{2} D 2\right) v^2 + \left(b + 2r\right)\right]}{\sqrt{b + 2v}}$	¥ ¥
Hydraulic depth D	ų	$\frac{(b+xy)y}{b+2xy}$	Vz/t	$h_{f_{ij}}^{1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{B} \mathrm{sin} \theta}{\mathrm{sin}^{1/2} \theta} \right) d_{g_{ij}}^{1}$	2hj	$\frac{\left(\frac{5}{2} \oplus 2\right)r^2}{\left(b+2r\right)} + y$	Y
Top width W	q	ð + 2.gv	2ay	$\left(\sinh^{(1/2)}d_{d}^{2} \right) d_{d}^{2} or$ $2 \left(j \left(\frac{d_{d}^{2}}{2} \right) j \right)$	<u>78</u>	6 + 2r	$2[z(y \oplus t) + \pi' 1 + s^2]$
Hydraulic radlus R	$\frac{by}{b+2y}$	$\frac{(b+xy)y}{b+2y\sqrt{1+x^2}}$	$\frac{z_V}{2\sqrt{1+z^2}}$	ار ک <mark>ست</mark> (الکامند) مراد	$\frac{2T^3y}{3T^3+8y^3}$	$\frac{\left(\frac{2}{2} \oplus 2\right) e^2 + \left(b + 2r\right) y}{\left(a \oplus 2\right) r + b + 2y}$	₩ A
Wetted perimeter P	NZ+9	$b + 2y \sqrt{1 + x^2}$	$2y \sqrt{1+x^2}$	1/2 Ød	$T + \frac{8y^2}{3T}$	(年日2)r + 6 + 2y	$rac{T}{x} \sqrt[3]{1+x^2} - rac{2x}{x} \left(1 ext{ B zcot}^1 \ z ight)$
Area A	Ŵ	v(lyz + d)	a da	$h_{4}^{1}(\theta \operatorname{B}\operatorname{sin} \theta)_{4}^{2}$	ŴЧ,	(² / ₂ Đ 2)n ² + (b + 2r)p	$\frac{T^2}{4z} - \frac{r^2}{z} \left(1 \text{ B } z \cot^2 z \right)$
Bection							



Figure II-4.13. Geometric Elements of Common Sections





Figure II-4.14. Flow Splitter Option A















Figure II-5.1. Infiltration Feasibility Flow Chart

11





Figure II-5.2. Runoff Treatment BMP Selection Flow Chart







Figure II-8.2. Critical Drainage Areas Commissioner District 2 ____

Page 40





APPENDIX FIGURES

Figure A.1. Threshold Discharge Area	43
Figure F.1. Rainfall Intensity – Duration Curves	44
Figure F.2. Nomograph for Sizing Circular Drains Flowing Full	45
Figure F.3. Circular Channel Ratios	46
Figure F.4. Backwater Calculation Sheet	47
Figure F.5. Backwater Calculation Sheet Notes	48
Figure F.6. Backwater Pipe Calculation Example	49
Figure F.7. Bend Head Losses in Structure	50
Figure F.8. Junction Head Loss in Structure	51
Figure F.9. Inlet/Outlet Control Conditions	52
Figure F.10. Headwater Depth for Smooth Interior Pipe Culverts with Inlet Control	53
Figure F.11. Headwater Depth for Corrugated Pipe Culvert with Inlet Control	54
Figure F.12. Head for Culverts (Pipe with n=0.012) Flowing Full with Outlet Control	55
Figure F.13. Head for Culverts (Pipe with n=0.024) Flowing Full with Outlet Control	56
Figure F.14. Critical Depth of Flow for Circular Culverts	57
Figure F.15. Open Channel Flow Profile Computation	58
Figure F.16. Open Channel Flow Profile Computation (Example)	59
Figure F.17. Mean Channel Velocity vs. Medium Stone Weight and Equivalent Stone Diameter	60
Figure F.18. Riprap/Filter Example Gradation Curve	61







Figure F.2. Nomograph for Sizing Circular Drains Flowing Full

ASHINGTO



(S)	¥	8	Ê											
(18) 2011	Heed	039	€											
(18) 222		089	Ē											
Êţ	₹₹	Heed	Ē											
() 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ē	E	Ē											
(15) (15)	35	Ee	€											
(† € Ú	I Past	880	€											
Ê.	Teach	L098	Ê											
<u>6</u>	탈	Elev	€											
Ē	Ē	L085	€											
(10)	Ň	₹ E	Ê											
®]		Head	Ê											
6	Barnel	₹	ŝ											
e	Barrel	Area	(sq. ft)											
(9)	Tet	¶9€	Ē											
0	Outlet	<u>ام</u>	Ê											
(7		ટ્રે	Value											
6		Plpe	Size											
ଷ		Ē	€											
9		đ	(cfs)											
	8	ment	to CB											
	•	8	8											



(Column (1)	-	Design flow to be conveyed by pipe segment.
(Column (2)	-	Length of pipe segment.
(Column (3)	-	Pipe Size; indicate pipe dia meter or span x rise.
(Column (4)	-	Manning's "n" value.
(Column (5)	-	Outlet Elevation of pipe segment.
(Column (6)	-	Inlet Elevation of pipe segment.
(Column (7)	-	Barrel Area; this is the full cross-sectional area of the pipe.
(Column (8)	-	Barrel Velocity; this is the full velocity in the pipe as determined by:
			V = Q/A or Col.(8) = Col.(1) / Col.(7)
(Column (9)	-	Barrel Velocity Head = $\frac{V}{2g}$ or (Col.(8));/2g
			where $g = 32.2$ ft/sec ² (acceleration due to gravity)
(Column (10)	-	Tailwater (<i>TW</i>) Elevation; this is the water surface elevation at the outlet of the pipe segment. If the pipe's outlet is not submerged by the <i>TW</i> and the <i>TW</i> depth is less than $(D+d_c)/2$, set TW equal to $(D+d)/2$ to keep the analysis simple and still obtain reasonable results (D = pipe barrel height and d_c = critical depth, both in feet. See Figure F. 14 for determination of d_c).
(Column (11)	-	Friction Loss = $S_f \times L$ [or $S_f \times Col.(2)$] where S_f is the friction slope or head loss per linear foot of pipe as determined by Manning's equation expressed in the form:
			$S_f = (nV)^2/2.22 R^{z_0}$
(Column (12)	-	Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) Elevation just inside the entrance of the pipe barrel; this is determined by adding the friction loss to the <i>TW</i> elevation:
			Col.(12) = Col.(11) + Col(10)
			If this elevation falls below the pipe's inlet crown, it no longer represents the true HGL when computed in this manner. The true HGL will fall somewhere between the pipe's crown and either normal flow depth or critical flow depth, whichever is greater. To keep the analysis simple and still obtain reasonable results (i.e., erring on the conservative side), set the HGL elevation equal to the crown elevation.
(Column (13)	-	Entrance Head Loss = $K_e \times V/2g$ [or $K_e \times Col.(9)$] where K_e = Entrance Loss Coefficient (from Table F.4). This is the head lost due to flow contractions at the pipe entrance.
(Column (14)	-	Exit Head Los s = $1.0 \times \frac{V}{2g}$ or $1.0 \times \text{Col.}(9)$
			This is the velocity head lost or transferred downstream.
(Column (15)	-	Outlet Control Elevation = Col.(12) + Col.(13) + Col.(14)
			This is the maximum headwater elevation assuming the pip es barrel and inlet/outlet characteristics are controlling capacity. It does not include structure losses or approach velocity considerations.
(Column (16)	-	Inlet Control Elevation (see Appendix F for computation of inlet control on culverts); this is the maximum headwater elevation assuming the pipe's inlet is controlling capacity. It does not include structure losses or approach velocity considerations.
(Column (17)	-	Approach Velocity Head; this is the amount of head/energy being supplied by the discharge from an ups tream pipe or channel section, which serves to reduce the head water elevation. If the discharge is from a pipe, the approach velocity head is equal to the barrel velocity head computed for the upstream pipe. If the upstream pipe outlet is significantly higher in elevation (as in a drop manhole) or lower in elevation such that its discharge energy would be dissipated, an approach velocity head of zero should be assumed.
(Column (18)	-	Bend Head Loss = $K_b \times V^2/2g$ [or $K_b \times Col.(17)$] where K_b = Bend Loss Coefficient (from Figure F.7). This is the loss of head/energy required to change direction of flow in an access structure.
(Column (19)	-	Junction Head Loss. This is the loss in head/energy that results from the turbulence created when two or more streams are merged into on e within the access structure. Figure F.8 may be used to determine this loss, or it may be computed using the following equations derived from Figure F.8:
			Junction Head Loss = $K_j \times V_j/2g$ [or $K_j \times Col.(17)$]
			where K_j is the Junction Loss Coefficient determined by:
			$K_j = (Q_3/Q_1)/(1.18 + 0.63(Q_3/Q_1))$
(Column (20)	-	Headwater (HW) Elevation; this is determined by combining the energy heads in Columns 17, 18, and 19 with the highest control elevation in either Column 15 or 16, as follows:
			Col.(20) = Col.(15 or 16) - Col.(17) + Col.(18) + Col.(19)



Figure F.5. Backwater Calculation Sheet Notes





Figure F.6. Backwater Pipe Calculation Example



















Figure F.14. Critical Depth of Flow for Circular Culverts

	×	-13												
	ΔX	-12												
		-11												
_ <i>u</i> _	s, -	-10												
-	s,	6-												
α -	ΔE	89												
	E	-7												
00	$\alpha V^{2/2}g$	-6												
	>	-5												
-	R ^{4/3}	4												
- 2	¥	-3												
	۲	-2												
	×	-1												

_



Figure F.15. Open Channel Flow Profile Computation

									_	_		
у	Α	R	R*3	V	$\alpha V^{2}/2g$	Ε	ΔE	S_f	S_f	$S_o - S_f$	Δx	x
-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9	-10	-11	-12	-13
6	72	2.68	3.72	0.42	0.0031	6.0031	-	0.00002	-	-	-	-
5.5	60.5	2.46	3.31	0.5	0.004	5.504	0.499	0.00003	0.000025	0.00698	71.5	71.5
5	50	2.24	2.92	0.6	0.0064	5.0064	0.4976	0.00005	0.00004	0.00696	71.49	142.99
4.5	40.5	2.01	2.54	0.74	0.0098	4.5098	0.4966	0.00009	0.00007	0.00693	71.64	214.63
4	32	1.79	2.17	0.94	0.0157	4.0157	0.4941	0.00016	0.000127	0.00687	71.89	286.52
3.5	24.5	1.57	1.82	1.22	0.0268	3.5268	0.4889	0.00033	0.000246	0.00675	72.38	358.9
3	18	1.34	1.48	1.67	0.0496	3.0496	0.4772	0.00076	0.000547	0.00645	73.95	432.85
2.5	12.5	1.12	1.16	2.4	0.1029	2.6029	0.4467	0.00201	0.001387	0.00561	79.58	512.43
2	8	0.89	0.86	3.75	0.2511	2.2511	0.3518	0.00663	0.00432	0.00268	131.27	643.7

The step computations are carried out as shown in the above table. The values in each column of the table are explained as follows:

- Col. 1. Depth of flow (ft) assigned from 6 to 2 feet
- Col. 2. Water area (ft²) corresponding to depth y in Col. 1
- Col. 3 Hydraulic radius (ft) corresponding to y in Col. 1
- Col. 4. Four-thirds power of the hydraulic radius
- Col. 5. Mean velocity (fps) obtained by dividing Q (30 cfs) by the water area in Col. 2
- Col. 6. Velocity head (ft)
- Col. 7. Specific energy (ft) obtained by adding the velocity head in Col. 6 to depth of flow in Col. 1
- Col. 8. Change of specific energy (ft) equal to the difference between the E value in Col. 7 and that of the previous step.
- Col. 9. Friction slope S_f, computed from V as given in Col. 5 and R^{4/3} in Col. 4
- Col. 10. Average friction slope between the steps, equal to the arithmetic mean of the friction slope just computed in Col. 9 and that of the previous step
- Col. 11. Difference between the bottom slope, So, and the average friction slope, Sf
- Col. 12. Length of the reach (ft) between the consecutive steps; Computed by $x = E/(S_o - S_f)$ or by dividing the value in Col. 8 by the value in Col. 11
- Col. 13. Distance from the beginning point to the section under consideration. This is equal to the cumulative sum of the values in Col. 12 computed for previous steps.



Figure F.16. Open Channel Flow Profile Computation (Example)



